EDUCATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS DEGREE: DOCTOR OF MINISTRY

#### MISSION/PURPOSE

The Doctor of Ministry degree (DMin) at Barry University is an advanced degree for ordained and non-ordained women and men engaged in full-time ministry from a variety of denominations. The program of study leading to the DMin degree prepares experienced ministers for advanced leadership activities and develops analytical skills of theological reflection on specialized ministerial or pastoral practices. The purpose of the Doctor of Ministry degree program is to provide advanced theological reflection on and understanding of ministerial praxis and leadership through the study of practical biblical, systematic/liturgical, and moral theology for minister-leaders in the churches.

#### **PROGRAM GOALS**

The Program Goals and Objectives of the Doctor of Ministry degree program are designed to develop theological and ministerial leadership and to enhance the practice of ministry by:

- providing advanced theological study of ministry and reflection on the purposes of particular ministerial practices
- investigating theological issues and ministerial practices in the context of academic study
- examining the praxis of ministry and fostering the knowledge and skills necessary for the development of a clear conception of the church's ministry
- forming a critical understanding of a particular ministerial practice, correlating a theological theory, and proposing a new understanding of that practice for contemporary ministerial needs
- developing those skills and competencies necessary for ministerial leadership
- advancing theological understanding of ministerial praxis.

These goals were developed by faculty in consonance with Mission and Core Commitments of Barry University, with the standards of the Association for Theological Schools, the USCCB document *Co-Workers in the Vineyard*, and the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools.

#### STUDENT-CENTERED LEARNING OBJECTIVES/EXPECTED OUTCOMES

**Objective 1**: DMin students demonstrate an advanced integration of theology and ministry in relation to various disciplines. (F.2.1.1/Intellectual)

**Objective 2**: DMin students formulate comprehensive and critical methods of ministry in which theory and practice interactively inform and enhance each other. (F.2.1.2/Ministerial)

**Objective 3**: DMin students manifest the skills and competencies, including methods of research in practical theology, required for ministerial leadership at its most mature and effective level. (F.2.1.3/Personal/Ministerial)

**Objective 4**: DMin students contribute new knowledge to the understanding and practice of ministry through the completion of a doctoral-level thesis project. (F.2.1.4/Intellectual/Ministerial)

**Objective 5**: DMin students exhibit spiritual, professional, and vocational competencies that witness to the development of ethical values in diverse contexts. (F.2.1.5/Spiritual/Pastoral)

**Objective 6**: DMin students engage the realities of diverse cultural, religious, and linguistic contexts of ministry. (F.2.1.6/Global) These objectives were developed by faculty in consonance the standards of the Association for Theological Schools and the USCCB document *Co-Workers in the Vineyard*.

Revision and Approval by Graduate Theology Committee: Minutes of April 24, 2012

| Course/Objective Assessment Map  |     |      |     |         |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|-----|---------|--|--|
| EXPECTED OUTCOMES                | 638 | 800A | 800 | 802 A/B |  |  |
| Objective 1:                     | X   | X    | X   |         |  |  |
| F.2.1.1/Intellectual             |     |      |     |         |  |  |
| Objective 2:                     | X   | X    | X   | X       |  |  |
| F.2.1.2/Ministerial              |     |      |     |         |  |  |
| Objective 3:                     | Χ   | X    | χ   | Х       |  |  |
| F.2.1.3/Personal/Ministerial     |     |      |     |         |  |  |
| Objective 4:                     |     |      |     |         |  |  |
| F.2.1.4/Intellectual/Ministerial |     |      |     |         |  |  |
| Objective 5:                     |     |      |     | Х       |  |  |
| F.2.1.5/Spiritual/Pastoral       |     |      |     |         |  |  |
| Objective 6:                     | X   | X    | Χ   | X       |  |  |
| F.2.1.6/Global                   |     |      |     |         |  |  |

#### ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY **Expected** Direct or Assessment Data Collection/ **Objectives** indirect results Frequency (assessment cycle) Instrument assessed measure DMin students in THE 638 (Theology of Ministry) submit a 20-25 1, 2, 3, 6 70% of students Research/Application Direct page research paper that focuses in greater depth on ONE issue in score 4 or more per Paper the theology of ministry. The paper includes: Objective assessed Introduction of the ministerial/pastoral issue or praxis that on a 5-point rubric. stimulated the student's interest in his/her selected topic. Scholarly research that adequately addresses the ministerial/pastoral issue. Explanation of how the student's theological research specifically applies to or addresses the ministerial question or situation. Proposal concerning the relevance of the student's research to the ecclesial or religious context of which s/he is a member. Description of how the conclusions reached concerning the local issue might apply to the broader church or to other ecclesial or cultural contexts. This course is offered every two years in the Fall semester or in the Summer Residency. DMin students in THE 800A (Fundamentals of Practical Theological Reflection 1, 2, 3, 6 70% of students Direct Theology) write a 5-7 page theological reflection on a ministerial score 4 or more per situation using one of the seven methods of practical theology Objective assessed studied in this course with a rationale for choosing a particular on a 5-point rubric. method. The reflection is reviewed and critiqued by a peer from a cultural or religious context other than that of the student. When the critique has been returned, the student incorporates the critique and submits a copy to each instructor, along with the peer critique. In the reflection the students: a. Describes the ministerial question of concern. Describes the ministerial situation that provoked the

question.

|                            | <ul> <li>c. Names the method used to reflect on this ministerial experience and the reasons for selecting this method.</li> <li>d. Works through each phase of the method with regard to the ministerial situation, making the ministerial situation accessible to the reader.</li> <li>e. Suggests possible historical, sociological sources; empirical tools; and systematic moves to use to help understand and explain the situation.</li> <li>f. Proposes a renewed praxis coming from the process.</li> <li>g. Provides an evaluation of the method.</li> <li>This course is offered annually in the Winter Residency and is taken by students in the first year of their program.</li> </ul>   |            |        |   |
|----------------------------|---|------------|--------|---|
| Thesis Project<br>Proposal | DMin students in THE 800 (Integrative Seminar) prepare a Thesis-Project Proposal to demonstrate the development of an advanced understanding of the nature and purposes of ministry and a mastery of practical theology methodology. It must be correlated to the students' ministry and to their ecclesial ministerial tradition. The Thesis-Project Proposal should be a simple text of no more than ten (10) pages, double-spaced, which states the ministry, purpose, method, and evaluation intended for the thesis-project. As a practical theology methodology, the proposal must reflect a praxis-theory-praxis-movement. The proposal follows this methodology by critically (i.e., hermeneutically) engaging a ministerial practice, its cultural context, and a religious tradition in a critical conversation to renew, reclaim, or reform that practice and the religious tradition.  Approval of the Thesis-Project Proposal is accomplished through Thesis-Project Proposal Defense before a community of scholars and peers. The defense of the thesis-project incorporates the subject matter and the use of practical theology inclusive of a theological discipline(s) in the development of the thesis-project. The process is as follows:  a) The thesis-project proposal and initial IRB protocol are distributed to the Proposal Defense Committee for their review no less than two weeks before the defense date.  b) Proposal Defense Session Format (duration: 1 hour) | 2, 3, 4, 6 | Direct | 80% of students score 4 or more per Objective assessed on a 5-point rubric. |

- i. Introduction and explanation of Proposal Defense procedure (Director of the DMin Program).
- ii. The mentor 1) identifies how the thesis-project proposal meets the criteria (4.a-b above), which practical theology and which discipline-specific theology are engaged, what research methodology will be used (e.g., for research with human subjects), and 2) facilitates the session.
- iii. Open examination of the thesis-project proposal by the Proposal Defense Committee with questions posed to the student.
- iv. Following examination, the student is asked to step out of the defense.
- v. The Thesis-Project Proposal Committee, after consultation with the other members of the Proposal Defense Committee, determines to approve the thesis-project proposal, approve with revisions, or deny approval.
- vi. The student is brought back to the defense and the results announced.
- c) If the Thesis-Project Proposal is approved or approved with revisions the student is promoted to Candidate for the Doctor of Ministry degree.
- d) If the Thesis-Project Proposal is denied, a student may resubmit a proposal within one year's time from the date of the defense.

After successful defense of the Thesis Proposal, the Candidate prepares and submit the Protocol for Research with Human Subjects with the Institutional review Board of Barry University. Studies involving human subjects as research participants through discussion groups, or as subjects of research, must meet all the requirements of Barry University's policies regarding research with human subjects and must be approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) before research begins. The candidate is responsible for following the research protocols of the IRB, published in "Guidelines for Submitting Research Protocols."

| Case Study Analysis/<br>Integrative Paper                   | This course is offered annually in the Summer Residency. Students may register for THE 800 after successfully completing no fewer than 21 credit hours in the program.  DMin students in THE 802 A/B (Ministerial Formation) complete a formative experience designed to equip students for competent leadership in diverse communities of faith through the completion of the following courses:  THE 802A: Doctoral Ministerial Formation and Pastoral Skills (3 credits)  One of two required courses in ministerial formation for the Doctor of Ministry student, this course provides theologically discerning accompaniment of students with a focus on the development of pastoral skills and methods of theological reflection required for ministers. Through course readings, class activities, an integrative paper, and one-on-one meetings with the Director of Ministerial Formation, students engage the human, spiritual, intellectual and ministerial components of theological formation for ministry necessary for ministerial effectiveness. Prereq: Master of Divinity degree program/equivalence  THE 802B: Doctoral Ministerial Formation and Professional Ethics (3 credits)  One of two required courses in ministerial formation for the Doctor of Ministry student, this course provides theologically discerning accompaniment of students with a focus on the | 2, 3, 5, 6               | Direct | 80% of students score 4 or more per Objective assessed on a 5-point rubric. |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------|---|
|   | Ethics (3 credits) One of two required courses in ministerial formation for the Doctor of Ministry student, this course provides theologically   |                          |        |   |
| Canatana Pracass  | DMin students in THE 801 complete the Thesis in Ministry. The  | 1,2,3,4,5,6              | Direct | 80% of students   |
| Capstone Process:<br>THE 801A/B: DMin<br>Thesis in Ministry | thesis-project represents the candidate's ability to integrate both the theoretical and practical dimensions of theological research.  | ±₁∠₁∪₁ <del>±</del> ₁∪₁∪ | Direct | successfully<br>complete the Thesis   |

| The thesis-project should demonstrate competent application of       | Project within the 7- |
|--|-----------------------|
| appropriate theological research methods and ethical guidelines in   | year time limit       |
| the investigation of the ministerial praxis. This research needs to  | allotted for degree   |
| be correlated to the candidate's ministry under the guidance and     | completion            |
| supervision of the candidate's thesis-project mentor and the         |                       |
| committee, which guides the thesis-project.                          |                       |
| Style: The thesis-project must be written in scholarly English. The  |                       |
| student will follow the current edition of Kate L. Turabian <i>A</i> |                       |
| Manual for Writers.  |                       |
| Arrangement of the Manuscript: The general arrangement is as         |                       |
| follows:   |                       |
| Title Page   |                       |
| Blank Page   |                       |
| Approval Page  |                       |
| Acknowledgements and/or Dedication Page                              |                       |
| Table of Contents  |                       |
| List of Tables or Figures  |                       |
| Abstract: The Abstract briefly summarizes the thesis-project         |                       |
| and its conclusions. It must be one page, single space. No           |                       |
| more than 350 words.   |                       |
| Body of Text: The Body of Text of the thesis-project typically       |                       |
| contains anywhere from four to five chapters and is                  |                       |
| presented with footnotes. Each chapter should be divided             |                       |
| into subheadings. Chapters and subheadings should be                 |                       |
| indicated in the Table of Contents.                                  |                       |
| Appendices: Appendices provide material relevant, but not            |                       |
| necessarily essential, to the text.                                  |                       |
| Bibliography: The Bibliography lists the sources used in             |                       |
| writing the thesis-project.  |                       |
| The Thesis Project in Ministry is evaluated by the thesis mentor, a  |                       |
| faculty reader, a peer reader, and the Director of the Doctor of     |                       |
| Ministry program. The thesis is then submitted to Proquest for       |                       |
| printing and binding for the Barry University Library and the        |                       |
| Department of Theology and Philosophy.                               |                       |
| r  |                       |

|   | Upon approval of the thesis-project and presentation of a          |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | summary of the thesis project at the Commissioning Ceremony        |  |  |
| 1 | before a community of peers, the student has fulfilled the         |  |  |
| 1 | requirements of the Doctor of Ministry degree and may participate  |  |  |
| i | in the University commencement.                                    |  |  |
| ſ | This is accomplished through the following two courses:            |  |  |
|   | THE 801A: DMin Thesis in Ministry I (4 credits)                    |  |  |
|   | The thesis-project represents the candidate's ability to integrate |  |  |
|   | both the theoretical and practical dimensions of theological       |  |  |
|   | research and to demonstrate competent application of appropriate   |  |  |
|   | theological research methods and ethical guidelines in the         |  |  |
|   | investigation of the ministerial praxis. Pre-requisite: Approved   |  |  |
|   | Thesis Proposal and IRB protocol.                                  |  |  |
|   | 1  |  |  |
| , | THE 801B: DMin Thesis in Ministry II (4 credits)                   |  |  |
| , | The thesis-project represents the candidate's ability to integrate |  |  |
| 1 | both the theoretical and practical dimensions of theological       |  |  |
| 1 | research. The thesis-project should demonstrate competent          |  |  |
|   | application of appropriate theological research methods and        |  |  |
|   | ethical guidelines in the investigation of the ministerial praxis. |  |  |
| 9 | Students must have completed their empirical research and two      |  |  |

#### MATCH BETWEEN ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS AND LEARNING OBJECTIVES

reviewed and approved chapters of the thesis before registering

for this course. Pre-requisite: THE 801A

Course Embedded Assessment (CEA): The rationale for the use of course embedded assessment to evaluate competency in each of the Student Objectives is threefold. First, CEA makes explicit and practical connections among the DMin Program Goals, the DMin Core Curriculum, and the specific Student Learning Outcomes that the effective ministerial leadership demands. Second, CEA requires that course content and assignments explicitly address and reinforce the Objectives designed to advance students' theological and ministerial competency. Third, CEA ensures that these Objectives are assessed multiple times at different intervals during the student's course of study in order to monitor progress in developing each of the Objectives and remediate as needed. The following describes the explicit connection in the course(s) between the assignments and the objectives assessed.

- THE 638: The 20-25 page research paper on a particular issue in the theology of ministry includes a ministerial/pastoral issue or praxis which the student engages through scholarly research (Objective 1) with a clear explanation of how the student's theological research specifically applies to or addresses the ministerial question or situation (Objective 3). The student then proposes the relevance of the student's research to the ecclesial or religious context of which s/he is a member (Objective 2) and describes how the conclusions reached concerning the local issue might apply to the broader church or to other ecclesial or cultural contexts (Objective 6).
- THE 800A: The theological reflection on a ministerial situation uses one of the seven methods of practical theology with a rationale for choosing a particular method (Objective 2). The reflection includes a particular ministerial question which the student works through using a chosen method of practical theology (Objective 3), subjects that reflection to critique by another students representing a different religious or cultural context (Objective 6), suggests possible historical and sociological sources in relation to systematic theology (Objective 1), and evaluates the method in response to its outcome (Objective 3).
- THE 800: The Thesis-Project Proposal demonstrates the development of an advanced understanding of the nature and purposes of ministry (Objective 2) and a mastery of practical theology methodology (Objective 3). It must be correlated to the students' ministry and to their ecclesial ministerial tradition. The proposal follows this methodology by critically (i.e., hermeneutically) engaging a ministerial practice, its cultural context, and a religious tradition in a critical conversation to renew, reclaim, or reform that practice and the religious tradition (Objective 6). The Thesis-Project Proposal Defense demonstrates before a community of scholars and peers, the defense of the thesis-project subject matter and the use of practical theology inclusive of a theological discipline(s) in the development of the thesis-project (Objective 4).
- THE 802A/B: These two courses, combined with ongoing engagement with the Director of Doctoral Ministerial Formation are designed to equip students for competent leadership in diverse communities of faith (Objectives 5 and 6). Competency is demonstrated through successful completion of the two required courses described above, as well as the completion of a 10 page paper integrating personal and ministerial context (thick description) with the skills and competencies developed throughout the formation process including academic knowledge, ethical values, and practical application of theological methods with a focus toward a ministerial praxis (Objectives 2 and 3).

**Thesis Project**: The thesis-project represents the candidate's ability to integrate both the theoretical and practical dimensions of theological research (Objectives 1, 2, and 3). The thesis-project should demonstrate competent application of appropriate theological research methods and ethical guidelines in the investigation of the ministerial praxis (Objective 5). This research needs to be correlated to the candidate's ministerial context (Objective 6) under the guidance and supervision of the candidate's thesis-project mentor and the committee who guide the thesis-project. When completed, the Thesis Project in Ministry is printed and bound for the Barry University Library and the Department of Theology and Philosophy and submitted to UMI for publication (Objective 4).

|                                   |  |   | Asses       | SSMENT               | RESULT     | 's <b>2006</b> - | 2022        |             |   |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------|----------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| COURSE<br>EMBEDDED<br>ASSESSMENTS |  | RESULTS BY OBJECTIVE (PERCENTAGE SCORING 4 OR HIGHER) |             |                      |            |                  |             |             |   |
| THE 638                           | This course was added to the Core Curriculum of the Doctor of Ministry Program in 2014. Since its addition, it has been offered twice. Nonetheless, of the 11 Doctor of Ministry students who have completed this course, 8 or 73% have met the target score of 4 or better. Hence the criterion percentage was met. |   |             |                      |            |                  |             |             |   |
|                                   |  | Year  | Enrolled    | Object               | ive 1 O    | bjective<br>2    | Objective 3 | Objective 6 |   |
|                                   |  | 2014  | 5           | 80%                  | %          | 60%              | 60%         | 100%        |   |
|                                   |  | 2017  | 4           | 75%                  | %          | 50%              | 50%         | 75%         |   |
|                                   |  | 2018  |             |                      |            |                  |             |             |   |
|                                   |  | 2019  |             |                      |            |                  |             |             | 1 |
|                                   |  | 2020  |             |                      |            |                  |             |             |   |
|                                   |  | 2021  |             |                      |            |                  |             |             |   |
|                                   |  | 2022  |             |                      |            |                  |             |             |   |
| THE 800A                          | The results below der  | ive fron  | n assessmer | nts of stu<br>Year   | dents in T |                  | %           |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2006                 | 12         | 6                | 50%         |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2007                 | 8          | 5                | 63%         |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2008                 | 8          | 7                | 88%         |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2009                 | 6          | 5                | 83%         |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2010                 | 11         | 10               | 91%         |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2011                 | 5          | 3                | 60%         |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2012                 | 6          | 5                | 83%         |             |   |
|                                   |  |   |             | 2012<br><b>Total</b> | 58         | 41               | 71%         |             |   |

After the newly initiated Course Embedded Assessment Plan in 2013, the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the criterion of 4 is as follows:

| Year | Enrolled | Objective 1 | Objective 2 | Objective 3 | Objective 6 |
|------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2013 | 6        | 50%         | 67%         | 83%         | 50%         |
| 2015 | 4        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |
| 2016 | 2        | 50%         | 100%        | 50%         | 100%        |
| 2017 | 5        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |
| 2018 | 2        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |
| 2019 |          |             |             |             |             |
| 2020 |          |             |             |             |             |
| 2021 | 5        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |
| 2022 |          |             |             |             |             |

THE 800 The following results derive from assessments of students in THE 800 from 2007-2013:

| Year  | Enrolled | 4 or | %    |
|-------|----------|------|------|
|       |          | more |      |
| 2006  | 6        | 5    | 83%  |
| 2007  | 4        | 4    | 100% |
| 2008  | 5        | 5    | 100% |
| 2009  | 8        | 7    | 88%  |
| 2010  | 7        | 6    | 86%  |
| 2011  | 7        | 7    | 100% |
| 2013  | 6        | 4    | 67%  |
| Total | 52       | 44   | 85%  |

Overall, 88% met or exceeded a score of 4 or more. The criterion percentage was met. The following chart represents the results with the implementation of the new assessment plan in 2014, indictaing the percentage who exceeded the score of 4...

| Year | Enrolled | Objective 1 | Objective 2 | Objective 3 | Objective 6 |
|------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2014 | 9        | 55%         | 55%         | 66%         | 77%         |
| 2015 | 4        | 75%         | 100%        | 75%         | 100%        |
| 2016 | 2        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |
| 2017 | 5        | 100%        | 80%         | 60%         | 100%        |
| 2018 | 2        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |

| 2019 | 2 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
|------|---|------|------|------|------|
| 2020 | 1 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| 2021 |   |      |      |      |      |
| 2022 | 1 |      |      |      |      |

#### THE 802A/B and Ongoing Formation Meetings

#### THE 802A: Doctoral Ministerial Formation and Pastoral Skills (3 credits)

One of two required courses in ministerial formation for the Doctor of Ministry student, this course provides theologically discerning accompaniment of students with a focus on the development of pastoral skills and methods of theological reflection required for ministers. Through course readings, class activities, an integrative paper, and one-on-one meetings with the Director of Ministerial Formation, students engage the human, spiritual, intellectual and ministerial components of theological formation for ministry necessary for ministerial effectiveness. Pre-req: Master of Divinity degree program/equivalence

#### THE 802B: Doctoral Ministerial Formation and Professional Ethics (3 credits)

One of two required courses in ministerial formation for the Doctor of Ministry student, this course provides theologically discerning accompaniment of students with a focus on the development of professional behavior in accord with ethical standards for pastoral practice. Through course readings, class activities, an integrative paper, and one-on-one meetings with the Director of Ministerial Formation, students engage the components of professional functioning in a pastoral position in the context of moral theology and ethics for ministry and mission. Pre-req: Master of Divinity degree program/equivalence

| Year     | Enrolled | Objective 2 | Objective 3 | Objective 5 | Objective 6 |
|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2016 (B) | 5        | 80%         | 100%        | 80%         | 100%        |
| 2017 (A) | 6        | 82%         | 100%        | 82%         | 82%         |
| 2018 (B) | 2        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |
| 2019 (A) | 2        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%        |
| 2020     |          |             |             |             |             |
| 2021     |          |             |             |             |             |
| 2022 (A) | 4        | 75%         | 100%        | 100%        | 80%         |
| 2023 (B) |          |             |             |             |             |
|          |          | •           | •           | •           |             |

### DOCTOR OF MINISTRY THESIS PROJECT (2006-2022) ASSESSMENT RESULTS

| Registration for THE 801  | Students   | % Graduated         | Met the          |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------|------------------|
| (semester/year)           | Registered | within 7-year Limit | Criterion of 80% |
| 2006                      | 2          | 50%                 | No               |
| 2007                      | 4          | 75%                 | No               |
| 2008                      | 3          | 33%                 | No               |
| 2009                      | 4          | 100%                | Yes              |
| 2010                      | 9          | 78%                 | No               |
| 2011                      | 2          | 50%                 | No               |
| 2012                      | 2          | 100%                | Yes              |
| 2013                      | 6          | 33%                 | No               |
| 2014                      | 2          | 100%                | Yes              |
| 2015                      | 2          | 50%                 | No               |
| Registration for THE 801A | Students   |                     |                  |
|                           | Registered |                     |                  |
| 2016                      | 4          |                     |                  |
| 2017                      | 3          |                     |                  |
| 2018                      | 5          |                     |                  |
| 2019                      | 5          |                     |                  |
| 2020                      | 1          |                     |                  |
| 2021                      | 1          |                     |                  |
|                           |            |                     |                  |
| Registration for THE 801B |            | % Graduated         | Met the          |
|                           |            | within 7-year Limit | Criterion of 80% |
| 2017                      | 3          | 100%                | Yes              |
| 2018                      | 2          | 0                   | No               |
| 2018                      | 1          | 100%                | Yes              |
| 2019                      | 4          | 75%                 | No               |
| 2020                      | 1          | 0                   | No               |
| 2021                      | 1          | 100%                | Yes              |
| 2022                      | 1          | 100%                | Yes              |
|                           |            |                     |                  |

| ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR DMIN STUDENT OBJECTIVES                                    |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 5  | 3   | 1  |  |  |
|  | Objective 1:  |  |  |  |
| F.2.1.1/Intellectual   |   |  |  |  |
| Integrates theological and cognate research into the formulation and analysis of | Demonstrates awareness of the implications of theological and cognate research in | Exhibits minimal evidence of relating theological or cognate research to |  |  |
| ministerial methods, issues, and praxes;   | relation to ministerial issues/praxes; gives                                      | ministerial issues/ praxes; reflects little                              |  |  |
| demonstrates depth of reflection on the  | some evidence of reflection on the relation                                       | awareness of the relation between theory                                 |  |  |
| interplay between theory and praxis in this                                      | between theory and praxis for this project.                                       | and praxis.  |  |  |
| project.   |   |  |  |  |
| Objective 2:   |   |  |  |  |
|  | F.2.1.2/Ministerial   |  |  |  |
| Identifies, assesses, and applies theory and                                     | Identifies connections between theological  | Deems theological theory and method as of                                |  |  |
| methods of research in theology as resources                                     | theory and methods and ministerial life and                                       | little or no value in his/her ministerial life                           |  |  |
| in ministry with accuracy, intentionality, and                                   | praxis.   | and praxis.  |  |  |
| effectiveness.   | 01: 1: 2  |  |  |  |
| Objective 3:<br>F.2.1.3/Personal/Ministerial                                     |   |  |  |  |
| Demonstrates commitment to and develops  | Exhibits a willingness to engage in   | Resists opportunities/invitations to                                     |  |  |
| skills for sound theological reflection and                                      | theological reflection and research;  | theological reflection or research;                                      |  |  |
| research leading to personal, communal, and                                      | recognizes the capacity of such engagement  | demonstrates lack of movement toward                                     |  |  |
| ecclesial transformation   | to promote personal, communal, and  | personal, communal, or ecclesial   |  |  |
|  | ecclesial transformation  | transformation   |  |  |
| Discerns and applies wisdom and insights   | Recognizes that insights derived from   | Dismisses the value of theological reflection                            |  |  |
| derived from theological reflection and  | theological reflection and research can   | or research for ministerial effectiveness                                |  |  |
| research in ways that enhance ministerial effectiveness                          | enhance ministerial effectiveness   |  |  |  |
| Affirms and espouses the integrity and   | Acknowledges that diverse theological   | Denies the validity of theological methods,                              |  |  |
| legitimacy of diverse theological methods,                                       | methods, symbols, and rituals have the  | symbols, and rituals beyond one's religious                              |  |  |
| symbols, and rituals to articulate and   | capacity to articulate personal, communal,  | tradition to articulate personal, communal,                              |  |  |
| stimulate personal, communal, and ecclesial                                      | and ecclesial faith   | and ecclesial faith  |  |  |
| faith  |   |  |  |  |

| Objective 4:<br>F.2.1.4/Intellectual/Ministerial  |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| The thesis clearly describes the ministerial issue/praxis that stimulated interest in the research topic. Explicitly defines the parameters of the project and the research goals. Demonstrates keen awareness of underlying theological issues.  | The thesis presents general information concerning the issue/praxis to be addressed in the paper. Sets basic pattern for the unfolding of the project. Expresses the fundamental theological issues explored in the research.  | The thesis contains minimal exposition of the issue/praxis guiding the paper. Boundaries of project ill-defined. Uncertain of theological issues involved.  |  |
| The thesis project explores, conducts, and integrates effective empirical research in ministry that both affirms and challenges claims made regarding the ministerial issue/praxis in question  | The thesis project includes empirical research in ministry that relates to the ministerial issue/praxis in question.   | The thesis project includes empirical research in ministry that is tangential or unrelated to the ministerial issue/praxis in question.   |  |
| Research selection represents breadth of recent theological scholarship on topic. Research selections clearly address issue at hand. Research clearly incorporates diverse theological viewpoints/ approaches. Referenced consistently formatted in Turabian style. Minimal unsubstantiated rhetoric.             | Research selection shows an awareness of recent theological scholarship on the topic. Research choices are generally on point. Research includes some evidence of diverse theological views/approaches. References inconsistently and/or incorrectly formatted. Noticeable unsubstantiated rhetoric. | Research selection shows lack of engagement with recent theological scholarship. Choices minimally address topic of paper. Research gives one-sided perspective. No recognizable format for research reference. Significant unsubstantiated rhetoric. |  |
| Research applied clearly and insightfully to the ministerial issue/praxis in question. Implications of the research explored in relation to ministerial situation. Conclusions demonstrate depth of reflection on the interplay between theory and praxis in this project. Shows creative thought and expression. | Research accurately applied to the ministerial issue/praxis in question. Implications of research noted in relation to project. Conclusions give some evidence of reflection on the relation between theory and praxis for this project.   | Research minimally or inaccurately applied to the issue/praxis in question. Minimal evidence of how the research relates to the issue/ praxis in question. Little evidence of reflection on relation between theory and praxis.                       |  |
| Objective 5:<br>F.2.1.5/Spiritual/Pastoral  |  |   |  |
| Demonstrates reflective and attentive communication with others in a consistent manner  | Maintains attention to the communication of others and generally responds in a reflective manner   | Formulates his/her opinion or argument rather than hearing or responding to that of others  |  |

| Exhibits a moral conscience and social responsibility based on the teachings of the  | Brings moral and Gospel considerations to bear on most issues and practices   | Lacks moral sensibilities that cohere with scripture or tradition  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Gospel and tradition   | bear of most issues and practices   | scripture of tradition   |  |  |
| Sets appropriate boundaries for ministerial and personal interactions and demonstrates respect for those of others   | Exhibits some interactions which transgress one's own personal or ministerial boundaries of or those of another                                     | Exhibits considerable confusion about personal and ministerial boundaries and fails to recognize or respect those of others  |  |  |
| Listens reflectively, suspends judgment, and speaks intentionally with respect for the equality and dignity of all persons   | Exhibits some tendency to judge or misconstrue the contributions of others; displays an inclination to value particular perspectives over others    | Responds inappropriately and/or judgmentally to the insights of others; display clear biases against particular perspectives                                       |  |  |
| Objective 6:<br>F.2.1.6/Global   |   |  |  |  |
| Demonstrates capacity for sound theological engagement with diverse cultural and religious traditions through the effective and insightful application of critical and constructive theological and ministerial knowledge in discussion, research, and reflection. | Engages diverse cultural and religious traditions with theological interest; satisfactorily applies insights to theological and ministerial issues. | Exhibits reluctance to engage diverse cultural and religious traditions; fails to discern connection between such diversity and theological or ministerial issues. |  |  |